Comparative Study in Efficacy and Safety of Intralesional Injections of Vitamin D3, Measles Rubella (MR) Vaccine, and Purified Protein Derivative (PPD) in the Management of Cutaneous Warts

Rehna Ahmed, Shweta P. Bhadbhade, Badrinath Noojibail, Sachin M. Shetty, Aiswarya Varghese Department of Dermatology, KVG Medical College, Sullia, Karnataka, India

Abstract

Introduction: Cutaneous warts caused by human papillomavirus are the most common dermatological diseases being contagious, recurrent, and recalcitrant. Most routinely used treatment modalities are destructive and can cause scarring. Immunotherapy is emerging as new modality of treatment, which enhances cell-mediated immunity against human papillomavirus for clearance of both treated and distant warts. **Aims:** The aim of this study was to compare efficacy between intralesional Vitamin D3, measles rubella (MR) vaccine, and purified protein derivative (PPD) injection in cutaneous warts. **Settings and Design:** This was a hospital-based interventional study. **Materials and Methods:** A total of 50 patients diagnosed with cutaneous warts were selected and divided into three groups with 15 patients in each. Treated with Vitamin D3 2 units of 6,00,000IU (15 mg/mL), 0.5 mL/dose 2 units of MR vaccine, and 10 TU of tuberculin PPD (0.1 mL) with 2 units of injections, respectively, with minimum three injections at 2-week intervals given. Follow-up of patients were done for 4 months. **Statistical Analysis Used:** A total of 45 patients were enrolled in the study and divided into three groups containing 15 patients each with the help of computer-generated random numbers. **Results:** Patients treated with Vitamin D3 showed complete clearance (12/15) with 3–4 sessions; side effect was pain at the site of injection. Injection with PPD was effective with complete clearance (10/15) but the number of session was higher. MR vaccine needs 6–8 sessions for complete clearance (3/15). Palmoplantar warts were more responding to treatment. **Conclusion:** Intralesional Vitamin D3, MR vaccine, and PPD are equally efficient in treating verrucae, although Vitamin D3 can be considered safe.

Keywords: Cutaneous warts, intralesional injection, PPD, R vaccine, vitamin D3

Key Message: Immunotherapy is one of the important modalities of treatment in cutaneous warts. The choice of intralesional injections depends on availability and efficacy.

INTRODUCTION

Cutaneous warts caused by human papillomavirus (HPV) are among the most common dermatological diseases. Warts are contagious, recurrent, and recalcitrant in nature. There are multiple treatment modalities, most of them being destructive resulting in scarring and/or dyspigmentation. Cell-mediated immunity (CMI) plays a major role in clearance of warts. Immunotherapy works on principal of enhancing the CMI, thereby clearing warts.^[1,2] Various antigens have been used for this purpose. Immunotherapy is gaining popularity as an emerging new

Access this article online				
Quick Response Code:				
	Website: www.jcasonline.com			
	DOI: 10.4103/JCAS.JCAS_39_20			

modality in treatment of warts. Very few studies have been published showing the efficacy of intralesional vitamin D3, purified protein derivative (PPD), and measles, mumps, and rubella (MMR) vaccine in the treatment of

> Address for correspondence: Dr. Shweta P. Bhadbhade, Department of Dermatology, KVG Medical College, Court Road, Kurunjibhag, Sullia 574327, Karnataka, India. E-mail: bhadbhadeshweta5@gmail.com

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

How to cite this article: Ahmed R, Bhadbhade SP, Noojibail B, Shetty SM, Varghese A. Comparative study in efficacy and safety of intralesional injections of vitamin D3, measles rubella (MR) vaccine, and purified protein derivative (PPD) in the management of cutaneous warts. J Cutan Aesthet Surg 2020;13:326-32.

cutaneous warts with varied efficacy. The aim of this study was to compare the efficacy and safety in the treatment of different types of cutaneous warts.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted from February 2018 to February 2019 at the Department of Dermatology, Venereology and Leprosy, KVG Medical College, Sullia. The study was approved by the institutional Ethical Committee. This was a randomized single-blinded study. A total of 45 patients were enrolled in the study and were divided into three groups (groups A, B, and C) with help of computer-generated random numbers, each group containing 15 patients [Table 1].

Patients in group A were injected with 2 units of vitamin D3 6,00,000 IU (15 mg/mL); patients in group B were injected with 2 units of measles rubella (MR) vaccine 0.5 mL/dose; and patients in group C were injected with 2 units of 5 TU of tuberculin PPD (0.1 mL/dose). In the case of multiple warts, two of the largest warts were selected for injection. Injections were given slowly into the base of each wart with a 27-gauge insulin syringe. For patients in group A, local anesthesia was injected before injecting vitamin D3 to prevent pain. Posttreatment, the patients were advised not to use any topical and oral medications.

Study design

Inclusion criteria

1. All patients, both males and females, clinically diagnosed to have warts (with no prior treatment with either topical or destructive modalities for at least 6 months) were selected for the study.

Exclusion criteria

Table 1: Mode of treatment in three groups						
Table 1. Mode of treatment in three groups						
Α	В	C				
Vitamin D3 (0.2 mL,	MR vaccine (0.5 mL/	5 TU of tuberculin				
15 mg/mL) + lignocaine	dose)	PPD 0.1 mL/dose				
(0.2 mL, 20 mg/mL)						
15	15	15				
MR, measles rubella vaccine; PPD, purified protein derivative						
Table 2: Summary of demographic and clinical data						
Total patients		43				
Gender ratio (M:F)		1:1.64				
Mean age in years (range	e)	26 (10-57)				
Mean number of warts (range)	3.2 (1–12)				
Type of wart (%)						
Verruca vulgaris		8 (17.8)				
Filiform wart		2 (4.4)				
Palmoplantar wart		26 (57.8)				
Plane wart		9 (20)				

- 1. Patients aged <10 years and >70 years
- 2. Warts with secondary infection
- 3. Pregnant and lactating females
- 4. Patients with keloidal tendency
- 5. Patients with evidence of immunosuppression including HIV
- 6. A prior history of hypersensitivity to intralesional injection
- 7. Past history of asthma, allergic skin disorders, meningitis, or convulsions
- 8. Anogenital warts

Cutaneous warts were diagnosed by history and clinical features. Baseline evaluation was made at the first visit,



Figure 1: Intralesional injection with vitamin D3 in the right sole of the feet at week 0 (A) and at week 3 (B) showing complete response leaving hyperpigmented scarring

327



Figure 2: Intralesional injection of vitamin D3 on the lateral aspect of foot at week 0 (A) and at week 3 (B) with complete response

and the demographic data were recorded in a prestructured questionnaire designed for this study. Each patient's clinical data including the site, number, size, and type of warts were noted on the first visit, along with clinical photographs.

The injections were repeated at 2 weekly intervals and in each visit the clinical response was documented by recording the number and size of warts. Most of the patients had complete clearance after three to four injections, whereas few required up to eight injections.



Figure 3: Intralesional injection of vitamin D3 on the solitary verruca at base of middle finger at week (0) and week (3) showing complete response

Table 3: Treatment response in three groups					
Remarks	Α	В	C		
Complete response	11	4	10		
Moderate response (>50%)	3	3	1		
Mild response (<50%)	1	4	3		
No response	0	2	1		
Average number of injection required	3	4	4		
Maximum number of injections	8	5	7		
Recurrence	1	0	1		
Adverse effects (number of patients)	5	0	2		

The patients were followed up for 6 months after the last injection to evaluate treatment efficacy and for recurrence. Adverse reactions if any were noted every 2 weeks for the first 2 months and monthly thereafter.

The treatment response was graded as follows:

Complete clearance—resolution of all the warts both treated and distant warts. Moderate response—> 50% reduction in both size and number of lesions.

Mild response—< 50% reduction in both size and number of lesions.

No response--no decrease in number or size or appearance of new warts.

RESULTS

A total of 45 patients were enrolled in our study. They were divided into three groups (groups A, B, and C) with 15 patients in each. Patients in group A were administered vitamin D3, group B MR vaccine, and group C PPD injection, respectively. Two patients in group B were lost for follow-up.

The clinical and demographic data are summarized in Table 2.

The duration of warts ranged from 1 week to 48 months with a mean of 1 year. The number of warts ranged from 1 to 12. The most common variant was palmoplantar warts



Figure 4: Intralesional injection of MR vaccine in the dorsal aspect of the bilateral thumb at week 0 (A) and week 5 (B) with mild response few lesions have necrosed

(57.8%) followed by plane warts (20%), vertucae vulgaris (17.8%), and filliform warts (4.4%).

The mean numbers of intralesional injections for complete clearance (11) in group A were three injections; however, one patient showed complete clearance only after eight injections [Figures 1-3]. Recurrence was noted one patient at 6 months after the last injection [Table 3].

In group B, 4 subjects showed complete clearance of warts with average requirement of four injections [Figures 4 and 5], whereas in group C complete clearance was observed in 10 subjects with average requirement of four injections. Recurrence was seen in one patient after 6 months of last injection [Table 3].

Adverse effects were most commonly seen in patients of group A followed by group C. Mild pain at the site of injection with persistent swelling was observed in five patients. The pain subsided within few hours and swelling resolved within 3–4 days.

In group C, two patients had mild swelling at the site of injection which resolved within 2 days. One among them developed fever on the third day which subsided with single dose of paracetamol 650 mg. The other patient developed erythema and itching surrounding the lesion after 1 week of injection [Figure 6] whereas in group C complete clearance was observed in 10 subjects with average requirement of 4 injections [Figures 7 and 8]. Interestingly the subsequent injections were uneventful in these two patients [Table 3].

DISCUSSION

Treatment of cutaneous warts is a therapeutic challenge at times. Various treatment modalities exist, each with its own pro and cons. Immune therapy has lately gained popularity due to its clearance rate, decreased recurrence, and insignificant adverse effects. It has been observed that a fully functional immune



Figure 5: Intralesional injection of MR vaccine on the finger tips of palms at week 0 (A) and at week 5 (B) showing complete response

system is required to clear the HPV from epidermis. Various antigens such as BCG, PPD, MMR, *Candida albicans*, and *Mycobacterium* w vaccine have been used for immune therapy.^[3]



Figure 6: Intralesional injection of PPD in the right thumb at week 0 (A) and at week 5 (B) with complete response



Figure 7: Intralesional injection with purified protein derivative (PPD) in both ventral and dorsal aspect of palms at week 0 (A) and at week 4 (B) showing moderate response

Immunotherapy works by enhancing the CMI. It has been observed that the antigen injected (PPD and MR) stimulate the proliferation of peripheral mononuclear cells and T-helper cells against HPV infected cells. As the CMI gets more active, it also results in release of different immunoregulatory factors like interleukin (IL-21, IL-42), IFN- γ , TNF- α which further stimulate immune response against HPV.^[4]

The mode of action of Vitamin D3 is still unclear. It is postulated that vitamin D acts by regulating epidermal cell proliferation and differentiation as well as to modulate cytokine production such as interleukin-6 (IL-6), IL-8, tumor necrosis factor (TNF- α), and TNF- γ via vitamin D receptor (VDR) pathway. In addition, vitamin D3 activates toll-like receptors (TLR) on macrophages that upregulate the expression of Vitamin D receptor (VDR) and Vitamin D 1 α -hydroxylase genes, leading to induction of the antimicrobial peptide.^[5]

PPD stimulates CMI nonspecifically through activation of Th1 cytokines, NK cells, and cytotoxic T cells. Meanwhile, PPD injection not only stimulates the local immunity but also activation of circulating T cells in the body leads to enhance clearance rate of injected as well as non injected, distant warts.^[6-8] Although the product insert warns against use in pregnancy, no teratogenic effects of mantoux testing during pregnancy have been documented.



Figure 8: Intralesional injection of PPD on the middle finger at week 0 (A) and at week 3 (B) showing moderate response, at week 4 complete shed off

Meanwhile, it has been postulated that a functional host immune system, particularly CMI, is a necessary prerequisite for successful immunotherapy.

Table 4 shows various treatment response of cutaneous warts in different studies compared with the present study.



Figure 9: (A) On intralesional vitamin D3 injection at tip of the finger a patient developed necroses underlying injection site. (B) On intralesional PPD injection verruca over the dorsal aspect of the ring finger developed swelling

Side effects

Few patients treated with intralesional vitamin D3 showed necroses at injection site [Figure 9A] and one patient on intralesional PPD developed swelling over the dorsal aspect of the ring finger [Figure 9B].

Declaration of patient consent

The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent forms. In the form the patient(s) has/have given his/her/their consent for his/her/their images and other clinical information to be reported in the journal. The patients understand that their names and initials will not be published and due efforts will be made to conceal their identity, but anonymity cannot be guaranteed.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

331

Table 4: Response rate of various vaccines/antigen					
Study	Vaccine/antigen	Number of session given	Clearance rate (%)		
Garg and Baveja ^[9,10]	Mycobacterium w vaccine	10	93		
Saoji et al. ^[11]	PPD	4	76		
Nofal et al. ^[12]	MMR vaccine	5	63		
Majid and Imran ^[13]	C. albicans	3	56		
Singh et al. ^[14]	Mycobacterium indicus pranii vaccine	10	54		
Nimbalkar et al.[15]	PPD	6	28		
Saini et al. ^[16]	MMR	More than 2 till resolution	40		
Kavya et al. ^[17]	Vitamin D3	4	78.57		
This study	Vitamin D3	3	73.3		
	PPD	5	66.6		
	MR vaccine	5	30.7		

PPD = purified protein derivative, MMR = measles, mumps and rubella, C. albicans: Candida albicans

REFERENCES

- Sterling JC, Handfield-Jones S, Hudson PM; British Association of Dermatologists. Guidelines for the management of cutaneous warts. Br J Dermatol 2001;144:4-11.
- 2. Gibbs S, Harvey I, Sterling J, Stark R. Local treatments for cutaneous warts: Systematic review. BMJ 2002;325:461.
- 3. Brodell RT, Johnson SM. Warts: diagnosis and management: an evidence based approach. New York, NY: Martin Dunitz; 2003.
- Horn TD, Johnson SM, Helm RM, Roberson PK. Intralesional immunotherapy of warts with mumps, candida, and trichophyton skin test antigens: A single-blinded, randomized, and controlled trial. Arch Dermatol 2005;141:589-94.
- Liu PT, Stenger S, Li H, Wenzel L, Tan BH, Krutzik SR, et al. Toll-like receptor triggering of a vitamin D-mediated human antimicrobial response. Science 2006;311:1770-3.
- Eassa BI, Abou-Bakr AA, El-Khalawany MA. Intradermal injection of PPD as a novel approach of immunotherapy in anogenital warts in pregnant women. Dermatol Ther 2011;24:137-43.
- Wananukul S, Chatproedprai S, Kittiratsacha P. Intralesional immunotherapy using tuberculin PPD in the treatment of palmoplantar and periungual warts. Asian Biomed 2009;3:739-43.
- Elela IM, Elshahid AR, Mosbeh AS. Intradermal vs intralesional purified protein derivatives in treatment of warts. Golf J Dermatol Venereol 2011;18:21-6.
- Garg S, Baveja S. Intralesional immunotherapy for difficult to treat warts with mycobacterium w vaccine. J Cutan Aesthet Surg 2014;7:203-8.

- Gupta S, Malhotra AK, Verma KK, Sharma VK. Intralesional immunotherapy with killed mycobacterium w vaccine for the treatment of ano-genital warts: An open label pilot study. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2008;22:1089-93.
- Saoji V, Lade NR, Gadegone R, Bhat A. Immunotherapy using purified protein derivative in the treatment of warts: An open uncontrolled trial. Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol 2016;82:42-6.
- Nofal A, Nofal E, Yosef A, Nofal H. Treatment of recalcitrant warts with intralesional measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine: A promising approach. Int J Dermatol 2015;54:667-71.
- Majid I, Imran S. Immunotherapy with intralesional candida albicans antigen in resistant or recurrent warts: A study. Indian J Dermatol 2013;58:360-5.
- Singh S, Chouhan K, Gupta S. Intralesional immunotherapy with killed mycobacterium indicus pranii vaccine for the treatment of extensive cutaneous warts. Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol 2014;80:509-14.
- Nimbalkar A, Pande S, Sharma R, Borkar M. Tuberculin purified protein derivative immunotherapy in the treatment of viral warts. Indian J Drugs Dermatol 2016;2:19-23.
- Saini P, Mittal A, Gupta LK, Khare AK, Mehta S. Intralesional mumps, measles and rubella vaccine in the treatment of cutaneous warts. Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol 2016;82: 343-5.
- 17. Kavya M, Shashikumar BM, Harish MR, Shweta BP. Safety and efficacy of intralesional vitamin D3 in cutaneous warts: An open uncontrolled trial. J Cutan Aesthet Surg 2017;10:90-4.